UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,176	02/03/2006	Detlef Teichner	HI09054USU (P03080)	6762	
34408 THE ECLIPSE	7590 10/13/201 GROUP LLP	0	EXAMINER		
10605 BALBO	A BLVD., SUITE 300		LANGHNOJA, KUNAL N		
GRANADA HILLS, CA 91344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2427		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,176	TEICHNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	RONAL LANGI INOJA	2421	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ao no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO(SD/08) Depar No(a)		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	г толовлоол Рарен No(s)		
/Scott Beliveau/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/29/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. With respect to claims 1 and 14, applicant argues cited references fail to teach claimed limitation "evaluation unit configured to receive miscellaneous data" and "a television reception unit ... comprising a plurality of television receiver modules" as recited in claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagree. Knobl et al teaches A/V appliances transmit information regarding content contained/received to the control unit 12, which is connect to operating units 14 and 15. For example, TV receiver provides information about receivable station and their program content. Furthermore, program information and program may be obtained over the internet. Wherein, control unit 12 processes the received information and assigns plurality of classes (Figures 1-2; Para. 0039, 0041 and 0044-45) (Claimed: evaluation unit configured to receive miscellaneous data). Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them. Knobl et al teaches an optical network 1 connects together a plurality of assorted A/V appliances 2-7, wherein the appliance 2-7 and term audio/visual relates to devices that output audio and/or video signals (Para. 0039). In addition control unit 12 processes the available A/V presentations from appliances 2-7 (Para. 0041 and 0044) which reads on claimed limitation "a television reception unit that processes a digital transmission signal having audio, video and miscellaneous data."

The examiner equated [6, 8, 9] as plurality of television receiver modules each configured to receive one of a plurality of digital transmission signals. Wherein, television 6 and monitors 8 and 9 are configured to receive one of plurality of digital transmission signals (i.e. Audio, video, program information) (Figures 1-2; Para. 0039, 0041 and 0044-45). Thus, the combination meets all limitations present in claims 1 and 14.

With respect to claims 22 and 25, Applicant's proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims, adopt examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some other way require only a cursory review by the examiner. Accordingly, further search and/or consideration is required on the part of the examiner.

.